

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/092,746	03/07/2002	Robert D. Feldman	FELDMAN 11-1-1-2-8	2870
46363 7:	590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER	
•	ΓTERSON & SHERIDA	WANG, QUAN ZHEN		
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		2633	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/092,746	FELDMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quan-Zhen Wang	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 May 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior (application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· =					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maddocks et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,483,616 B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Maddocks teaches a method, comprising: detecting loss (column 2, lines 63-67 and column 3, lines 1-15) of a supervisory signal counter-propagating in an optical fiber path (the drawing, optical fiber 6) at a first network element (the drawing, unit 2) reducing the power level (column 3, lines 7-12) of an optical signal propagating in an optical transmission line (the drawing, optical fiber 5) in response to the absence of a counter-propagating supervisory signal (column 3, lines 6-12).

Regarding claim 2, Maddocks further teaches that the step of reducing counterpropagating optical power in response to the absence of the optical signal (column 3, lines 6-12).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 3, Maddocks further teaches that the step of reducing the power level of the optical signal and the step of reducing counter-propagating optical power are performed substantially at the same time (column 2, lines 63-67 and column 3, lines 1-12).

Regarding claims 4, the method of Maddocks inherently comprises reducing pump power supplied by at least one pump source (the light signal generated by amplifier 8) coupled to the optical transmission line (the drawing, optical fiber 7).

Regarding claim 5, the method of Maddocks inherently comprises reducing counter-propagating pump power supplied by at least one pump source coupled to the optical transmission line (column 2, line 67 and column 3, lines 1-12).

Regarding claims 6-7, Maddocks further teaches that the power level of the optical signal is reduced by a predetermined amount such that harm from an optical signal emanating from a fault in the optical transmission line is substantially reduced (column 3, lines 13-17).

Regarding claims 8-9, Maddocks further teaches that the method further comprising the step of restoring the power level of the optical signal in response to the presence or a notification of the presence of the counter-propagating supervisory signal (column 3, lines 49-58).

Regarding claims 11-12, Maddocks further teaches the method further comprising: detecting loss of the optical signal propagating in the optical fiber path at a second network element (the drawing, unit 1); and responsive to the loss of the optical signal, reducing counter-propagating optical power (the drawing, laser control 21) output

Art Unit: 2633

from the second network element (the drawing, unit 1) by a predetermined amount, and the steps are performed substantially at the same time (column 3, lines 7-12).

Regarding claims 13-14, the method of Maddocks inherently comprises reducing pump power supplied by at least one pump source coupled to the optical fiber path in the first network element; reducing counter-propagating optical power comprises reducing counter-propagating pump power supplied by at least one pump source coupled to the optical transmission line (column 3, lines 12-35).

Regarding claim 15, Maddocks further teaches the method further comprising reducing counter-propagating pump power supplied by at least one pump source coupled to the optical fiber path in the second network element (column 3, lines 14-16).

Regarding claim 16, Maddocks teaches a network element adapted for use in an optical transmission system, comprising: at least one gain element (the drawing, amplifier 8), for providing an optical signal to an optical transmission line (the drawing, optical fiber 5); and a controller (the drawing, Laser Control 21), for reducing the power level of an optical signal generated by the at least one gain element in response to the absence of a counter-propagating supervisory signal (column 3, lines 7-12).

Regarding claim 17, Maddocks further teaches that the controller (the drawing, laser control 21), in response to the absence of the counter-propagating supervisory signal (supervisory signal output by supervisory insert 16), provides an indication to a downstream network element (the drawing, unit 2) that the supervisory signal is absent (column 3, lines 7-12).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 18, Maddocks further teaches that the network element comprises a repeater (column 2, lines 19-24).

Regarding claim 19, Maddocks further teaches that at least one gain element comprises at least one of an optical amplifier and a pump source (the drawing, amplifier 8).

Regarding claim 20, Maddocks teaches that in a lightwave communication system (the drawing) having a plurality of network elements (the drawing, elements 1, 2, 8, 11, 21 and 22) for supplying an optical signal adapted for transmission in an optical fiber path (the drawing, optical fiber 6), an apparatus for controlling power of an optical signal (for example, Laser Control 22) propagating in the optical fiber path comprising: means for detecting loss of a supervisory signal (the drawing, element 21) counterpropagating in a optical fiber path (for example, the supervisory signal that counterpropagates in optical fiber 6); and a first automatic power reduction circuit (for example, Laser Control 22) for reducing the power level of an optical signal output from a first network element (the drawing, unit 2) by a predetermined amount in response to the loss of the supervisory signal (signal generated by supervisory insert 16).

Regarding claim 21, Maddocks further teaches means for detecting loss of the optical signal propagating in the optical fiber path; and a second automatic power reduction circuit (for example, Laser Control 21) for reducing counter-propagating optical power (signal propagating in optical fiber 5) output from a second network element (the drawing, unit 1) by a predetermined amount in response to the loss of the optical signal (column 2, lines 63-67 and column 3, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2633

Response to Arguments

Page 6

3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that "Maddocks does not disclose a counter-propagating supervisory signal", therefore, "it is impossible for Maddocks to reduce the power level in response to the absence of counter-propagating supervisory signal". However, Maddocks clearly discloses that the signal propagates from left to right in fiber 5 and a supervisory signal counter-propagating from right to left in optical fiber 6. Mandocks further discloses detecting loss (column 2, lines 63-67 and column 3, lines 1-15) of a supervisory signal counter-propagating in an optical fiber path (the drawing, optical fiber 6) at a first network element (the drawing; unit 2) reducing the power level (column 3, lines 7-12) of an optical signal propagating in an optical transmission line (the drawing, optical fiber 5) in response to the absence of a counter-propagating supervisory signal (column 3, lines 6-12).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rowley et al. (U.S. Patent US 4,833,668) teaches a bi-directional optical communication system in which the supervisory signal is counter-propagating with respect to the optical signal in the same optical fiber for the signal transmission.

Art Unit: 2633

Czarnocha et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,504,630 B1) teaches an automatic power shut-down arrangement for optical line system.

Casanova et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,583,899 B1) discloses an aumatic protection system for an optical transmission system.

Arnold (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2004/0052524 A1) discloses a method of power control in an optical communication system.

Boden (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2003/0020994 A1) discloses an optical system transmitting information bi-directionally in a single optical fiber to suppress the cross talk between channels.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan-Zhen Wang whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2633

272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday -

Page 8

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qzw

JASON CHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600